

Jul 5, 2007

FCC Public Comments  
445 12th Street SW  
Washington, DC 20554

97-80  
DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FILED/ACCEPTED

JUL 25 2007

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

As a consumer interested in protecting competition, innovation, and legitimate use of cable TV content, I urge you to refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1) by NCTA, Charter, Verizon, and all other cable providers. The FCC's integration ban, which in effect requires cable companies to integrate CableCARDS into their own set-top boxes, remains good policy today.

Now ten years after the Telecommunications Act of 1996, cable companies have dragged their feet long enough on competitive alternatives to proprietary set-top boxes, thus hampering innovation and harming consumers. The integration ban will also help market competition prevent further restrictions on cable subscribers' ability to make legitimate use of recorded content.

By adopting content protection limits (encoding rules) in docket no. 97-80, the Commission recognized the importance of allowing consumers to make certain uses of TV content, regardless of a particular cable provider's or copyright holder's wishes. With competition spurred on by the integration ban, consumers would have the freedom to choose the least restrictive cable-compatible device available. The CableCARD standard already prescribes restrictions that harm consumers by limiting non-infringing uses, and such restrictions will get even worse if cable providers' set-top boxes are unchecked by competition.

Please refuse requests for waivers of 47 CFR 76.1204(a)(1).

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeremy Whitener  
12899 Ventana St  
Parker, CO 80134-6640


No. of Copies rec'd 0  
List A B C D E

# INQUIRY FOR JEREMY WHITENER

Complaint Type: Cable

Account Type: Residential

☐ Congressional Complaint ☐

IC Number:	07-F0279762	Case Type:	Inquiry
Date Received:	07/11/2007 	Complainant:	Jeremy Whitener
Date Entered:	07/12/2007	Date Assigned:	07/12/2007
Entered By:	PORTALSV1	Date Reassigned:	07/13/2007
Assigned To:	Judy Camel/FCCIN	Service Date:	
Date Closed:	07/13/2007	Response Date:	
Closed By:	Judy Camel	Original Analyst:	Minnie Caskey/FCCIN
Close Letter Needed?	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	Purged By:	Purged Date:
		Removed By:	Removed Date:
Supervisor Check:	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	Indecency Referral Code:	

Current Status: Closed

[View Complaint](#)

Associated Case:

Complaint Summary:

Apparent Carrier(s):			
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes<<< Check here if you wish to serve both a Wireline and Wireless carrier.			
Problem Number:			
Title: None	First Name: Jeremy	Middle Initial:	Last Name: Whitener
Contact Name:	Jeremy Whitener	Best Time to Call:	
Contact Number:	Ext.	Consumer's Telephone Number:	Ext.
Fax Number:		TTY Number:	
Email Address:		Internet Address:	
PO Box:		Address:	12899 Ventana St
City:	Parker	State: CO	Zip: 80134

On Behalf Of:	
Company Name:	
Party's Name:	Relationship with the Party:
Party's Contact Number: Ext.	PO Box:
	Address:
	City:State:Zip:
Other Party that can be contacted?	
Name:	Relationship:
Contact Number: Ext.	Address:
	City: State: Zip:
**Amount of credit FCC effort generated:	Duplicate Credit Checked: <input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No

Have you paid any of the disputed charges?

Did the company billing for these charges adjust or refund some or all of the disputed charges?

If yes, what was the amount of the adjustment or refund?

b. Telephone number for the carrier(s) or company(ies) involved

with your complaint, including area code:

Phone: Ext:

c. Which type of service is involved with your complaint: